School: Neil Cummins Elementary School

Year: 2020-21

School Local Control & Accountability Plan

School Profile

COVID 19 Response Plan: For the 2020-21 school year, state and local public health criteria will determine what instructional model(s) LCMSD will employ. Three district-wide models have been developed to respond to the following criteria: Tier Level on California's Blueprint for a Safer Economy, A Public Health Guided Return to Site-Based Instruction, Stronger Together: A Guidebook for the Safe Reopening of California's Public Schools, Waiver Status, and Guidance on School Closure (California Department of Public Health). The LCMSD instructional models are: distance learning, hybrid, and five days per week of AM/PM. Student cohorts were built in the beginning of the school year and reconfigured over winter break based on parent selection of in-person when possible or distance learning in all scenarios. Cohort construction emphasized adaptability of instructional models (e.g. transitioning from distance learning to hybrid with minimal student/teacher changes) and safety. LCMSD's approach to navigating COVID-19 has been to follow science, make decisions through a lens of equity, maximize in-person learning to the greatest extent possible, collaborate with stakeholders, and communicate often with the learning community.

Neil Cummins Elementary School exemplifies how a small organization can accomplish meaningful goals through teamwork. The synergy of talented and dedicated staff members, bright, involved and supportive parents, and energetic and valued children, for whom the importance of school is a focus, keeps Neil Cummins united, resilient, and upward bound.

We are one of two elementary schools in the District, serving roughly 560 students in TK through fifth grade. Neil Cummins has 24 general education classrooms and several additional classrooms to support the arts and specialized learning instruction. The additional classrooms include the following: a learning center, intervention services room, music room, art room, occupational therapy room, speech and language room, a school library and a gymnasium. We support a school garden and cultivate the school wide garden program.

Neil Cummins is devoted to delivering an academic program focused on the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). We have made significant progress toward full implementation of CCSS aligned curriculum and instruction in both ELA and math. In our classrooms, we strive for student-centered reading and writing instruction with Lucy Calkins Readers and Writers Workshop and a balanced literacy framework. Our Cognitively Guided Instruction in math supports student development as mathematicians, as outlined in the eight Standards for Mathematical Practice. Neil Cummins teachers implement workshop-model instruction, and regularly conduct one-on-one and small-group student conferences. In reading, Neil Cummins teachers use research-based strategies to determine students' independent and instructional reading levels and create strategy groups for targeted instruction in ELA and mathematics. Teachers use formative and summative assessment to identify students who need intervention and additional support. Our goal is for teachers to regularly practice and improve the art of conferring with students independently and in small groups, taking anecdotal notes, collecting work samples, and collecting data to inform instruction.

Due to generous parent support, we provide an array of educational experiences at Neil Cummins. In each classroom, students use technology as learning tools (1:1 iPads in K-2, 1:1 Chromebooks in grades 3-5). In science, teachers provide students with hands-on experiences built upon the Next Generation Science Standards, which nurture an appreciation for the inquiry process. A companion to our Science curriculum is our implementation of Project Lead the Way to support design and problem solving skills with technology and robotics. Neil Cummins students enjoy music instruction provided weekly by our music teacher. Weekly art lessons with our art teacher provide imaginative pieces of work and opportunities for our students to explore with a variety of media. Students, staff and parents actively access our school library, which is continually growing as a result of the PTO Annual Book Fair and Readathon. During physical education, our credentialed physical education teachers have ample equipment for student use and provide stimulating and engaging physical education lessons for all grades. SPARK, our districtwide education foundation, provides funding for a full time credentialed school counselor, as well as support for the arts, science and technology integration. We are fortunate to have fantastic parent involvement at Neil Cummins.

Neil Cummins enjoys a 95% approval rating from parents per our annual Local Control Accountability Parent Survey. This high level of positive regard is generated by recognition of our strong commitment to the: 1) achievement, personal growth, and happiness of every student; 2) collaborative parent-staff relationships; 3) motivated, high-performing students; 4) an effective standards-based curriculum; and 5) by school spirit and commonly held values.

CAASPP Results (All Students)

English Language Arts/Literacy

					Overall Partici	pation for All S	itudents					
	# of	Students Enro	olled	# o	f Students Tes	ted	# of S	tudents with S	Scores	% of En	rolled Student	s Tested
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	117	94	92	114	91	91	114	91	91	97.4	96.8	98.9
Grade 4	105	108	101	96	107	98	96	107	98	91.4	99.1	97
Grade 5	87	105	105	86	104	102	86	104	102	98.9	99	97.1
All Grades	309	307	298	296	302	291	296	302	291	95.8	98.4	97.7

^{*} The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

	Overall Achievement for All Students														
	Me	an Scale Sc	ore	% Sta	andard Exce	eded	%	Standard M	let	% Sta	ndard Nearl	y Met	% St	andard Not	Met
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	2468.1	2478.2	2520.1	42.11	50.55	69.23	28.07	23.08	20.88	14.04	15.38	7.69	15.79	10.99	2.20
Grade 4	2535.0	2543.1	2539.0	57.29	62.62	58.16	21.88	19.63	20.41	10.42	12.15	7.14	10.42	5.61	14.29
Grade 5	2575.6	2553.7	2573.5	50.00	42.31	54.90	31.40	31.73	27.45	8.14	13.46	8.82	10.47	12.50	8.82
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	49.32	51.99	60.48	27.03	24.83	23.02	11.15	13.58	7.90	12.50	9.60	8.59

	Demo	nstrating under	Reading rstanding of lite	rary and non-fi	ctional texts								
	% Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard Grade Level												
Grade Level	Grade Level 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19												
Grade 3	42.11	48.35	62.64	39.47	41.76	35.16	18.42	9.89	2.20				
Grade 4	52.08	55.14	56.12	38.54	40.19	33.67	9.38	4.67	10.20				
Grade 5 53.49 45.19 53.92 36.05 40.38 33.33 10.47 14.42 12.75													
II Grades 48.65 49.67 57.39 38.18 40.73 34.02 13.18 9.60 8.59													

		Producin	Writing g clear and pur	poseful writing									
	% Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard Grade Level												
Grade Level 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19													
Grade 3	42.98	43.96	57.14	45.61	42.86	38.46	11.40	13.19	4.40				
Grade 4	55.21	52.83	52.04	33.33	40.57	36.73	11.46	6.60	11.22				
irade 5 56.98 52.88 61.76 40.70 36.54 28.43 2.33 10.58 9.80													
All Grades 51.01 50.17 57.04 40.20 39.87 34.36 8.78 9.97 8.59													

		Demonstrat	Listening ing effective co		ills									
	% Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard													
Grade Level 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19														
Grade 3	35.09	39.56	47.25	52.63	52.75	49.45	12.28	7.69	3.30					
Grade 4	48.96	41.12	50.00	42.71	53.27	43.88	8.33	5.61	6.12					
Grade 5 34.88 28.85 35.29 59.30 57.69 56.86 5.81 13.46 7.84														
All Grades 39.53 36.42 43.99 51.35 54.64 50.17 9.12 8.94 5.84														

		Investigating, a	Research/Inq nalyzing, and p	uiry resenting inforn	nation						
	%	Above Standa	rd	% A	t or Near Stand	lard	%	S Below Standar	·d		
Grade Level 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19											
Grade 3	40.35	46.15	60.44	47.37	42.86	35.16	12.28	10.99	4.40		
Grade 4	50.00	56.07	55.10	44.79	33.64	36.73	5.21	10.28	8.16		
Grade 5 59.30 50.00 54.90 32.56 37.50 35.29 8.14 12.50 9.80											
All Grades 48.99 50.99 56.70 42.23 37.75 35.74 8.78 11.26 7.56											

CAASPP Results (All Students)

Mathematics

					Overall Partici	pation for All S	Students					
	# of	f Students Enro	lled	# o	f Students Tes	ted	# of S	tudents with S	Scores	% of En	rolled Student	s Tested
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	117	94	92	115	92	91	115	92	91	98.3	97.9	98.9
Grade 4	105	108	101	97	106	98	97	106	98	92.4	98.1	97
Grade 5	87	105	106	87	104	104	87	104	104	100	99	98.1
All Grades	309	307	299	299	302	293	299	302	293	96.8	98.4	98

^{*} The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

	Overall Achievement for All Students														
	Me	an Scale Sc	ore	% Sta	andard Exce	eded	%	Standard M	let	% Sta	ndard Nearl	y Met	% St	andard Not	Met
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	2464.4	2480.7	2512.3	30.43	38.04	61.54	39.13	39.13	20.88	18.26	15.22	12.09	12.17	7.61	5.49
Grade 4	2524.9	2538.5	2548.8	37.11	50.94	51.02	37.11	29.25	30.61	20.62	15.09	16.33	5.15	4.72	2.04
Grade 5	2566.0	2547.5	2562.3	43.68	40.38	45.19	26.44	24.04	27.88	20.69	22.12	21.15	9.20	13.46	5.77
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	36.45	43.38	52.22	34.78	30.46	26.62	19.73	17.55	16.72	9.03	8.61	4.44

			Concepts & Proc hematical conce	edures epts and proced	ures							
	%	Above Standa	rd	% A	t or Near Stand	ard	%	S Below Standar	·d			
Grade Level	Grade Level 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19											
Grade 3	48.70	56.52	72.53	33.91	31.52	19.78	17.39	11.96	7.69			
Grade 4	46.39	66.04	63.27	41.24	23.58	27.55	12.37	10.38	9.18			
Grade 5	56.32 47.12 50.96 33.33 34.62 34.62 10.34 18.27 14.42											
All Grades	s 50.17 56.62 61.77 36.12 29.80 27.65 13.71 13.58 10.58											

U	sing appropriat		•	ing/Data Analys real world and r		roblems							
	% Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard Grade Level												
Grade Level	Grade Level 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19												
Grade 3	40.87	46.74	65.93	46.96	45.65	26.37	12.17	7.61	7.69				
Grade 4	51.55	55.66	55.10	41.24	37.74	38.78	7.22	6.60	6.12				
Grade 5	49.43 41.35 46.15 40.23 44.23 45.19 10.34 14.42 8.65												
All Grades 46.82 48.01 55.29 43.14 42.38 37.20 10.03 9.60 7.51													

	Den		mmunicating R lity to support r	•	onclusions						
	%	Above Standa	rd	% A	At or Near Stand	ard	%	S Below Standar	d		
Grade Level 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19 16-17 17-18 18-19											
Grade 3	Grade 3 36.52 44.57 65.93 52.17 46.74 30.77 11.30 8.70 3.30										
Grade 4	48.45	59.43	60.20	43.30	32.08	31.63	8.25	8.49	8.16		
Grade 5 37.21 38.46 41.35 48.84 41.35 50.96 13.95 20.19 7.69											
All Grades 40.60 47.68 55.29 48.32 39.74 38.23 11.07 12.58 6.48											

Related State Priorities	Identified Need and Metric	Description of Subgoal	Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	What will be different / improved for students?		Annual
				Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	update: Analysis of Progress
Performance Goal #1: Equity: Close or narrov	w the equity gap for stude	nt groups (English Language Learne	ers, Students wi	th Disabilities, Hispanic/Latinx, ar	nd socioeconomically disad	vantaged).
(2) Implementation of Common Core State Standards	2020 California Healthy Kids Survey 86% of students report feeling safe at school	Narrow the equity gap in terms of access and achievement. On state testing, 75% of students	English Language Learners	Targeted outreach Counselor check ins with at-risk students Parent education	LCFF Concentration Grant Title II SPARK	
(3) Parental Involvement	most or all of the time. 86% of students report a high level of school	in target groups (English Language Learners, Students with Disabilities, Hispanic/Latinx, and	Students with Disabilities	Community connectedness Counselors Teachers	General Fund	
(4) Student Achievement	connectedness. Equity audits:	socioeconomically disadvantaged) will meet or exceed standards	Hispanic/Latin x	Administrators DELAC - SPARK, multicultural events		
(5) Student Engagement	Discipline Attendance Awards/recognition		Socioeconomi cally Disadvantage	SSC Equity audits		
(6) School Climate	2019 CAASPP Subgroup		d	Professional development plan		
(7) Course Access	Data (LCMSD) Low Socioeconomic			2020-23 Readers and Writers Workshop		
(8) Other Student Outcomes	Status ELA Achievement - 49% Math Achievement - 34%			CGI Math NGSS/PLTW EL strategies Intervention strategies and curriculum		
	English Language Learner ELA Achievement - 30%			Defined teacher collaboration Courageous Conversations		
	Math Achievement - 16%			Data analysis and action planning Measure and communicate		
	Hispanic/Latinx ELA Achievement - 55% Math Achievement -			student progress Conferring and strategy groups in reading, writing, and math		

Related State Priorities	Identified Need and Metric	Description of Subgoal	Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	What will be different / imp	Annual	
				Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	update: Analysis of Progress
	39% Students with Disabilities ELA Achievement - 44% Math Achievement - 41% Local Elementary Literacy Assessments Local Math Common Assessments Parental engagement Surveys Meetings Conferences			Implement systematic literacy and math intervention via MTSS EL/Literacy specialist Identified intervention curriculum Offer translation services and translated communications		

	Identified Need and Metric	Description of Subgoal	Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	What will be different / imp	Annual	
Related State Priorities				Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	update: Analysis of Progress
		ent in mathematics and English lan actices in personalized learning and			I students with a focus on	narrowing the
Common Core State	2019 CAASPP Data (Neil	State Testing:		Implement and update	Grant Funding	
Standards	Cummins)	All grades will meet or exceed		curriculum maps and common	Title II	
(1) (1)	3rd grade	growth targets established by		assessments	SPARK	
(4) Student	ELA Achievement - 90%	similar school mean gain scaled			General Fund	
Achievement	Math Achievement - 82%	score		Implement systematic literacy intervention based on MTSS		
(8) Other Student	8270	Local Benchmarks:		intervention based on M133		
Outcomes	4th grade	90% of students in grades 3-5 will		Implement Professional		
Guttomics	ELA Achievement - 79%	meet or exceed standards on		Development Map 2020-2023		
	Math Achievement -	local reading and writing				
	82%	benchmark assessments		Provide differentiated		
				professional learning		
	5th grade	85% of students in grades 3-5 will		opportunities that focus on		
	ELA Achievement - 82%	meet or exceed standards on		curriculum and pedagogy that is		
	Math Achievement -	local math benchmark		firmly rooted in research		
	73%	assessments.		Data analysis and action		
	2019 CAASPP Subgroup	100% of classroom teachers will		Data analysis and action planning		
	Data (LCMSD)	embed professional learning (in		Measure and communicate		
	Low Socioeconomic	the specific district focus areas of		student progress		
	Status	reading or math) in their annual		Conferring and strategy groups		
	ELA Achievement - 49%	professional goals		in reading, writing, and math		
	Math Achievement -					
	34%					
	English Language					
	Learners					
	ELA Achievement - 30%					
	Math Achievement -					
	16%					

Related State Priorities	Identified Need and Metric	Description of Subgoal	Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	What will be different / imp	Annual	
				Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	update: Analysis of Progress
	Hispanic/Latinx ELA Achievement - 55% Math Achievement - 39% Students with Disabilities ELA Achievement - 44% Math Achievement - 41% Local Elementary Literacy Assessments Local Math Common Assessments Collaborative Inquiry Visits (CIV) with district administrative team to collect data and observe instructional practices as modeled and implemented with Reader's and Writer's workshop and Cognitively Guided Instruction in math					

Related State Priorities	Identified Need and Metric	Description of Subgoal	Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	What will be different / improved for students?		Annual
				Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	update: Analysis of Progress
			l and improved	, which includes social-emotional l	earning, campus and stude	ent safety,
growth minuset, and	i a well roullded course of si	luuy.				
(1) Basic Services			All			
	Local Survey:	Implement a comprehensive		Maintain Wellness Counselor	Learning Loss Mitigation	
(5) Student Engagement	2019 LCAP Survey	wellness program that will be maintained and improved, which		position	Funds	
	92% of parents report	includes social-emotional		Maintain viable and guaranteed	Fund 35	
(6) School Climate	their child feels	learning, campus and student		counseling program: Defined		
	connected to an adult in	, ,, 5		classroom-based lessons on	General Fund	
(7) Course Access	their classroom or other	well rounded course of study		conflict resolution and prosocial		
	staff members at NC.			relationships, involvement in	SPARK Education	
	(This is up from 84% in	Implement Universal Mental		class placement, small	Foundation	
	2018.)	Health Screening		group/individual counseling,		
	050/ (2 151			executive functioning,		
	85% of 3rd-5th grade	Implement systems and		drug/alcohol/nicotine education,		
	students reported	structures to meet or exceed the		suicide awareness and		
	feeling safe on	COVID-19 30-Point Safety		prevention, conflict resolution,		
	playground. (86% in 2018.)	Guidance outlined by the Marin County Department of Public		growth mindset, self regulation		
	2018.)	Health		Implement common set of		
	86% of students	lieattii		mindfulness tools K-8; Use of		
	reported positive	Safely reopening schools for in		mindfulness techniques		
	relationships with peers	person instruction based on local		implemented in classrooms by		
	at school.	and State health criteria		teachers		
	91% of students	Maximize percentage of students		Use of brain breaks throughout		
	reported feeling	feeling safe and connected to		the day to maintain student		
	included while eating at	school		engagement		
	lunch.			Courseless shoots into with the state.		
	Linius annul Mantal II Iti-			Counselor check in's with at-risk		
	Universal Mental Health			students		
	Screening			Counselor collaboration with		
				Counselor Collaboration With		

	Identified Need and Metric	Description of Subgoal	Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	What will be different / improved for students?		Annual
Related State Priorities				Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	update: Analysis of Progress
	Ability to fill 100% of designated Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) and Safety requests. California Healthy Kids Survey Attendance and Engagement Logs			teachers, parents and stakeholders to develop plans to support prosocial behaviors in specific students Offer staff wellness opportunities and resources Foster school-connectedness (DELAC, Site ELAC- ELCC, social/peer safety) COVID-19 Related Committees: Districtwide Operations Committee, Distance Learning Committee Develop and Implement School Site Specific Protection Plan (Marin County and State level mandated safety plan) Develop and Implement LCMSD COVID-19 Response Plan Website Plan and Offer Parent education on safety practices and protocols		